Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/560,269	NOLTE, BARRY M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Derek Rutten	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	<u>wable</u>
(1) <u>J. Derek Rutten</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ryan T. Grace, Reg. No. 52,956.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112, 101		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 16, 27, 31, and 42		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte cord of the substance of the	n summary of the substand interview, since the intervie
,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	anature – if annoniato)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Rutten contacted Mr. Grace concerning a possible examiner's amendment to capture the tail merge scenario as argued on pages 16-17 filed 2/13/07. Mr. Grace agreed to amend claims 1, 12, and 31 to more adequately provide for the tail merge instrumentation. Mr. Grace also agreed to incorporate tail merge limitations from claim 1 into independent claims 16, 27, and 42. Thus, all claims would provide for a tail merge instrumentation. A further amendment to claims 16, 27 and 42 was agreed to in order to avoid potential 101 issues.